nomenclatural confusion (see Furtado, Gard. Bull. Singapore 13: 304 321. 1951).

Calyptrogyne H. Wendland, Bot. Zeit. 17: 72. 25 Feb 1859. Lectotype: C. spiciaera (C. Koch) H. Wendland.

Wendland's description of Calyptrogyne was based in part on C. spicigera, in part on another, undescribed species from Central America which served to provide characters of the fruit. In addition, he listed C. Ghiesbreghtiana, of which he said, "Auch eine andere zu dieser Gattung gehörende Palme, von mir früher als Geonoma Ghiesbreghtiana beschrieben, has mir dabei gedient."

The description of developed staminate and pistillate flowers, used for differential characters of the genus, and of mature fruit, eliminate *C. Ghiesbreghtiana* from consideration as lectotype since only incompletely developed flowers and no fruit were described for the last species. Thus, *C. spicigera*, which is especially mentioned in a discussion of pistillate flowers, is designated as lectotype.

Calyptronoma Grisebach, Fl. Brit. W. Ind. 518. 1864.

Type: ?Elaeis occidentalis Swartz ('Elais') [Calyptronoma occidentalis (Swartz) H. E. Moore].

Grisebach clearly designated the type when he wrote, "This genus, constructed upon the *Elaeis occidentalis*, Sw...." He used the superfluous name *C. Swartzii* Grisebach instead of taking up the earlier epithet occidentalis, at the same time associating a C. Wright specimen (1446) from Cuba with the Jamaican material. Whether one or several species of this genus are accepted from the West Indies, *C. occidentalis* must be used for the Jamaican palms:

Calyptronoma occidentalis (Swartz) H. E. Moore, tr. nov. ? Elaeis occidentalis Swartz, Fl. Ind. Occ. 1: 619. 1797 ('Elais').

Copernicia Martius in Endlicher, Gen. Pl. 253. Oct 1837.

Type: Corypha cerifera Arruda da Camara [C. prunifera (Miller) H. E. Moore].

Plates 49 and 50 of Martius's *Hist. Nat. Palm.* **2** (1824) are referred to in the generic description of *Copernicia*. These both pertain to *Corypha cerifera*, which was formally transferred to *Copernicia* in 1839. The correct name for the type species is *C. prunifera* (Miller) H. E. Moore as noted in the preceding article (p. 242).