

- (01) [from p. 14] *Adenostyles hybrida*, based on *Cacalia tomentosa* var. *hybrida* Vill., was not validly published by DC., Prodr. 5: 204. 1836, being a nom. prov.
- (02) [from p. 37] ascriptions to P. Fournier 1926 or 1940 are errors
- (03) [from p. 42] Lemke & Rothm. in Rothmaler, Excurs.-Fl. Deutschl. Krit. Bd.: 327. 1963, comb. inval.
- (04) [from p. 100] *Centaurea "deflexa* L." Tat. 1856, listed in IPNI, is a nom. nud., perhaps an error for *Centaurea reflexa* Lam.?
- (05) [from p. 132] See Taxon 50: 567. 2001, for homonymy
- (06) [from p. 186] accepted as antedating *Crepis incana* Lapeyr., May 1813
- (07) [from p. 195] ***Crepis litardierei*** Emb., sp. nov.: "Planta perennis, caudice squamato verticali, acaulis. Folia numerosa, dense rosulata, longissima (10-15 cm longa), spatulata, leviter rugosa, angusta (in parte latiore 2 cm lata), in petiolum longum sensim attenuata; margo laminae runcinatus, rarius profunde dentatus vel integer. Scapi floriferi simplices raro subramosi, apice vix incrassati, monocephali, folia superantes, leviter pubescentes, sursum scabriusculi, glandulosi et pilis nonnullis atris obsiti; nudi vel bracteis 1-2 anguste linearibus ad 2 cm longis glanduloso-pubescentibus ornati. Capitula parva (diam. ca. 2 cm); involucri glandulosum imbricatum, absque calyculo; squamae involucrales subulatae, exteriores interioribus breviores et angustiores haud albo-marginatae, dorso pilis robustis rigidis atris ornatae interiores latiores, margine membranaceo albo cinctae, in nervo pilis rigidis atris obsitae. Flores flavi; receptaculum alveolatum setosum; cypselae glabrae, dimorphae, exteriores 7 mm longae breviter indistincte rostratae, interiores erostres 2-4 mm longae. Pappus cypselas aequans vel iis brevior." Holotypus: Zaouïa Ahansal: falaises calc. du Dj. Aïoui, 3000 m, 14. Jul 1935, Emberger (MPU006144). – L. Emberger.
- (08) [from p. 205] Same combination by Tausch in Flora 11: 178. 1828 is not validly published (Art. 26.2)
- (09) [from p. 207] As forma, but valid as proles (Art. 33.5); but same combination by Rouy in Rev. Bot. Syst. Géogr. Bot. 1: 33. 1903 (prius), as forma, is not validly published (Art. 33.7 + 35.5)
- (12) [from p. 260] some data may belong to *Hieracium pallescens* aggr.
- (10) [from p. 261] validated by reference to the Latin diagnosis of (included) *Hieracium bifidum* var. *glabrescens* Fen. & Zahn in Bot. Jahrb. Syst., Beibl. 135: 28. 1925
- (11) [from p. 262] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *maculosum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 12. 1889, des. inval.
- (13) [from p. 271] *Hieracium basiphyllum* f. *brachyphyllum* Vuk., Hierac. Croat.: 18. 1858, des. inval., Art. 33.9
- (14) [from p. 279] *Hieracium cydoniifolium* subsp. *cantalicum* Arv.-Touv., Monogr. Pilosella & Hieracium, Addit.: 15. 1879, des. provis. inval.
- (15) [from p. 288] *Hieracium subspeciosum* subsp. *morascanum* Zahn, Hieracioth. Eur. 7: 10. 1912 & [in schedis] No. 637, nom. nud.
- (16) [from p. 335] *Hieracium lineatum* Arv.-Touv., Monogr. Pilosella & Hieracium, Addit.: 14. 1879, des. provis. inval.
- (17) [from p. 346] earlier (1876 and 1877) proposed as a nom. nud.
- (18) [from p. 351] *Hieracium rigidum* subsp. *drymophilum* Sudre in Bull. Soc. Bot. France 61: 306. 1915 is a nom. nud.
- (19) [from p. 353] *Hieracium vulgatum* subsp. *lepiduloides* Zahn, Hieracioth. Eur. 9: 21. 1914 & [in schedis] No. 882, nom. nud.
- (20) [from p. 357] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *stipatum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 48. 1889, des. inval.
- (21) [from p. 360] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *lineatum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 72. 1889, des. inval.
- (22) [from p. 361] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *semiglobosum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 71. 1889, des. inval.
- (23) [from p. 368] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *acroleucum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 55. 1889, des. inval.
- (24) [from p. 368] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *striaticeps* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 56. 1889, des. inval.
- (25) [from p. 370] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *lepidulum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 50. 1889, des. inval.
- (26) [from p. 376] *Hieracium ausserdorferi* subsp. *ausserdorferi* Oborny 1901 or 1902 has priority
- (27) [from p. 376] O. Bolòs & Vigo, Fl. Països Catalans 3: 1063. 1996, comb. inval., ex
- (28) [from p. 386] *Hieracium sylvaticum* subsp. *glandellatum* Zahn, Hieracioth. Eur. 8: 11. 1913 & [in schedis] No. 737, nom. nud.
- (29) [from p. 387] based on *Hieracium* [subsp.] *integratum* Stenstr., Värmländsk. Archierac.: 21. 1889, des. inval.
- (30) [from p. 397] *Hieracium hirsutum* sensu Boreau, Fl. Centre France, ed. 2, 2: 321. 1849
- (31) [from p. 403] Hirschm. in Denkschr. Schweiz. Naturf. Ges. 81: 522. 1956, comb. inval.
- (32) [from p. 404] Hub.-Mor. in Bauhinia 4: 152. 1971, comb. inval.
- (33) [from p. 405] Hirschm. in Denkschr. Schweiz. Naturf. Ges. 81: 522. 1956, comb. inval.
- (34) [from p. 405] Hirschm. in Denkschr. Schweiz. Naturf. Ges. 81: 522. 1956, comb. inval.
- (35) [from p. 419] an jam Sched. Fl. Stiriac. 21-22: [in schedis] No. 1099. 1911?
- (36) [from p. 437] presumed to antedate *Hieracium rupicola* Fr. 1848; otherwise, *Hieracium rupigenum* would be correct
- (37) [from p. 438] priority at subspecies rank dates from *Hieracium boreale* subsp. *boreale*, per Fries 1862
- (38) [from p. 449] Gamisans & Jeanm., Fl. Cors.: 839. 2007, comb. inval.
- (39) [from p. 465] homotypic by designation: 308
- (40) [from p. 513] the alleged basionym, *Cicerbita cyprica* Beauverd [with French diagnosis], appeared in 1936 not 1934
- (41) [from p. 548] includes type of *Onopordum alexandrinum*
- (42) [from p. 592] validated by reference to Gouan's descriptions of "*Hieracium praemorsum*"
- (43) [from p. 614] Coskunç. & Beyazoglu in Edinburgh J. Bot. 59: 320. 2002, comb. inval.
- (44) [from p. 626] based on an unnamed form of Hampe, Fl. Hercyn.: 173. 1873
- (45) [from p. 697] *Santolina pinnata* Donn, Hortus Cantabrig.: 93. 1796 is a nom. nud.
- (46) [from p. 733] homotypic by type designation